Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/932,889	WERNZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>George L. Snider</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:  over the prior art		
Claims discussed: pending		
Prior art documents discussed: applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE the Applicants agree with the proposed Examiner's amendment, places the application into the status for allowance	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS which introduces the limitation of	S DISCUSSED: claim 30 into claim 29 and thus
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance
	,	
Jelere Stell		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		